

OLYMPIA INDUSTRIES BERHAD

MATERIAL LITIGATIONS AS AT 14th May 2014

Save as disclosed below, Olympia Industries Berhad ("OIB") and its subsidiary companies are not engaged in any material litigation, claims or arbitration, either as plaintiff or defendant, and the Directors of OIB have no knowledge of any proceedings pending or threatened against OIB and/or its subsidiary companies or of any facts likely to give rise to any proceedings which may materially and adversely affect the position and/or business of OIB and its subsidiary companies: -

1. On 12 February 1998, Jupiter Securities Sdn. Bhd. ("JSSB") commenced legal action against Datin Wo Tang Koi @ Wu Shya Kwee, Chang Kok Chuang, Chong Chi Siong and Dariel Loh Yuen Tuck (collectively "the Defendants") at the Kuala Lumpur High Court ("KLHC") under suit no: D1-22-249-1998 ("Current Suit") for the recovery of RM27,193,867.72 together with interest and costs. JSSB's claim relates to shares trading undertaken by the first, second and third defendants through the fourth defendant who was an employee of JSSB. JSSB had on 21 March 2003 at the Kuala Lumpur High Court under suit no: D1-22-433-2003 ("Fresh Suit") filed a legal action against Dato' Wong for the recovery of RM27,193,867.72. Both the Fresh Suit and the Current Suit has been consolidated on 20 October 2003. Dato' Wong's application for security for costs was dismissed on 5 September 2005 and Dato' Wong appeal was also dismissed with costs on 17 May 2006. Case management has been fixed on 13 July 2009. The matter proceeded with full trial on 7 to 9 April 2010, 14 to 16 April 2010, 13 and 30 July 2010, 20 and 30 August 2010, 7, 8, 14 and 15 September 2010, 8, 13 and 14 October 2010, 4 November 2010, 6, 23, 29 and 30 December 2010, 4 to 5 and 21 January 2011, 28 February 2011, 10, 14, 17, 28, 29, 30 and 31 March 2011, 7 and 13 April 2011, 10, 20, 24 and 27 May 2011 and 27 to 30 June 2011. On 27 June 2011 the Court dismissed the Defendants' application to recall the Plaintiff's witness no. 18 for purposes of establishing the transactions which form the basis of the claim were illegal and ruled that no illegality exist. The matter is now fixed for further mention on 6 July 2012 for parties to appraise the Court on the progress of the Defendants' Appeals to the Court of Appeal which is fixed for hearing on 3 July 2012. The Defendants' Appeals at the Court of Appeal was heard on 3 July 2012 and has been dismissed with costs of RM10,000.00 against each of the Defendants. Subsequently the Defendants have filed a Notice of Motion at the Federal Court for Leave to Appeal at the Federal Court. Continue full trial proceeded on 15th August 2012. The Court has on 29 August 2012 adjourned the continued trial fixed on 29 and 30 August 2012 with costs of RM1,000.00 as costs against the Defendants as the Defendants' Solicitors had requested an adjournment to engage expert opinion. Trial continued on 2 and 28 November 2012 and 1 March 2013, 4, 5, 15, 16, 25 and 26 April 2013. On 15 April 2013, Plaintiff have closed their case and proceeded with Defendants' witnesses. On 24 April 2013, the Defendants' have withdrawn their Notice of Motion filed at the Federal Court with costs of RM5,000.00. Matter proceeded at the Kuala Lumpur High Court for submission on admissibility of the DAT tapes on 20 and 21 May 2013 and adjourned for Decision and continued trial on 13 and 14 June 2013. On 13 and 14 June 2013, matter proceeded with continued trial and had reserved decision for the admissibility of the DAT tapes to 25 June 2013. The High Court on 4 July 2013 has decided in our favour in that the DAT tapes are admissible. The matter proceeded further for

continued trial from 9 to 12 July 2013, 22 to 24 July 2013, 15 to 16 August 2013, 28 to 30 August 2013, 17 to 20 September 2013 and 25 to 27 September 2013 with Defendants' witnesses. The matter has been fixed for Decision/Clarification on 31 December 2013. The Court had on 31 December 2013 fixed the matter for Case Management which has been rescheduled to 6 January 2014 and 27 January 2014 pending receipt of the notes of proceedings. The Court had on 27 January 2014 directed all parties to file their respective submissions by 14 February 2014 and fixed the matter for Decision on 28 February 2014. The Judge has vacated the decision date fixed on 28 February 2014 to a date to be fixed to allow parties to file their respective submissions. On 23 April 2014, Court fixed 29 April 2014 for Decision. On 29 April 2014, the Court heard oral submissions from all parties and fixed 9 June 2014 for Decision.

2. On 13 December 2006, Rinota Construction Sdn Bhd ("Petitioner") commenced legal action at the KLHC under petition no: D7-26-89-2006 and served the petition together with the affidavit in support dated 12 December 2006 on Mascon Rinota Sdn Bhd ("MRSB"), Mascon Sdn Bhd ("Mascon"), Yeoh Sek Phin, OIB, Dato Yap Yong Seong and Yap Wee Keat claiming, amongst others, for an order that MRCB and Mascon purchase the shares owned by the Petitioner in MRSB at such price and terms determined by the Court, an order that Mascon and OIB pay, or cause its subsidiaries or associated companies to pay MRSB all debts owed to it by Mascon and OIB or its subsidiaries or associated companies in connection to the lease agreement and loans extended to the fellow subsidiaries and an order that a certified accountant be appointed to inspect the accounts of MRSB. The petition is grounded on the fact that the Respondents derived substantial monetary benefit from the Petitioner to the detriment of the Petitioner. The Respondents has filed their affidavit in reply on 22 May 2007 opposing the petition and it is the Respondents defence that there was no oppressive conduct against the Petitioner. The Petitioner filed a Summons in Chambers Ex-Parte dated 24 July 2007 for an injunction order to restrain the Respondents and or its agents from taking any steps to complete the disposal of the share sale agreement representing, OIB's disposal of its 71% equity interest in Mascon or take any action to dispose off OIB's 14,200,000 ordinary shares in Mascon until after the Court has given its judgment on the Petition. On 26 July 2007, the Judge has granted the Petitioner a 21 days ex-parte injunction and on 11 December 2007, the Court had granted the Petitioner an interim injunction. Mascon has decided not to appeal against the decision in granting the injunction but to proceed with the hearing of the petition. On 21 October 2007 the Petitioner filed an application to amend the petition to add Mascon Construction Sdn Bhd ("MCSB") as the 7th Respondent to the above petition and on 21 February 2008 the Court granted the order to amend the petition. Mascon, the 2nd Respondent has been wound up on 25 March 2008. The 5th and 6th Respondents filed an application to strike out the petition which application was dismissed by the judge with cost on 26 November 2008. The Petitioner's application for disclosure was allowed with cost on 26 November 2008. The hearing date of the petition initially fixed on 24 April 2009 was subsequently fixed for Mediation on 16 November 2011. The Mediation was unsuccessful in resolving the matter for the Petitioner and certain Respondents who attended the said Mediation. The matter was proceeded with full trial on 5 to 9 December 2011, 10 and 31 January 2012, and 5 to 7 March 2012 and the Petitioner had also withdrawn their claim against Yeoh Sek Phin the 3rd Respondent. The matter was fixed for Decision on 28 June 2012 but was adjourned by the Court to 31 July 2012 and further adjourned to 29 August 2012. The Court has on 29 August 2012 has ordered OIB and the Respondents to buy out the Petitioner's shareholding in MRSB, OIB to pay to MRSB all debts owed to it out of a lease agreement and loans and a certified public accountant be appointed to inspect the accounts of MRSB and to file a report to the Court of the results of the inspection. On 27 September 2012, OIB and the Respondents have filed their Appeal at the Court of Appeal against the decision of the High Court. The Court has fixed 1 October 2012, 31 October 2012 and 7 November 2012

for the parties to revert to the Court on the issue of costs and the appointment of a common public accountant. On 7 November 2012, the Court has decided on the issue of costs and granted the Petitioner costs of RM214,372.37. Matter has been fixed for hearing of the Petitioner's Application for an agreement on the appointment of a certified public accountant on 20 September 2013. On 29 August 2013, the Court of Appeal has fixed the Respondents' Appeal for hearing on 14 February 2014. However, the Court on its own motion has vacated 14 February 2014 and rescheduled the hearing to 12 May 2014 at 9.00am. The Court on 20 September 2013 had appointed BDO Governance Advisory Sdn Bhd ("BDO") as the Certified Public Accountant to inspect the accounts of Mascon Rinota Sdn Bhd from June 1995 until 29 August 2012. Pursuant to the Court Order dated 29 August 2012, BDO will have 6 months from 20 September 2013 to prepare the Accountants report for the Court to decide on the value of the buy-out of Rinota Construction Sdn Bhd's shareholding in Mascon Rinota Sdn Bhd. MRSB is a 60% owned subsidiary of Mascon which has been wound up. On 12 May 2014, the case was set for Hearing and the Appellate Court has allowed our appeal with RM100,000.00 costs. The Court of Appeal Judges has set aside the decision in the High Court and ordered the Respondent to return costs paid by the Appellant. The Judges also made an order that the accountant fees to be shared equally between parties.